UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,652	10/31/2005	Franciscus Cornelius Dings	008895-0316415	3724
909 7590 10/20/2008 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN WA 22102			EXAMINER	
			NUCKOLS, TIFFANY Z	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,652	DINGS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIFFANY NUCKOLS	4132
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 c This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
<u> </u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 10/538,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 4132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - Group I, claims 1-15 and 31, drawn to method for treating a substrate.
 - Group II, claims 16-29 and 32, drawn to the apparatus for treating a substrate.
 - Group III, claim 30, drawn to the product of the method for treating a substrate.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 5. Evidence of lack of unity among the claim groups is found in U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0049468 to Hu et al. which anticipates the claimed apparatus of Group II as set forth in Claim 16.
- 6. Hu et al teaches a cascade plasma apparatus (See Hu et al, Paragraphs 0006, 0011) with a relatively high-pressure cascade plasma prechamber source (See Hu et al 40, Figure 1) connected to a relatively low-pressure processing chamber (See Hu et al 50, Figure 1) by a conduit, i.e., channel, bounded by discs, i.e., plates (See Hu et al, Paragraph 0011-0014) which are separated by insulating rings (See Hu et al, Paragraph 0011). The prechamber opens into the chamber resulting in the plasma being

Art Unit: 4132

deposited, i.e., extending, into the chamber (*See Hu et al Claim 2*). Hu et al also discloses a reactant, i.e. treatment fluid, introduced through the plasma stream (*See Hu et al Paragraph 0019*). Hu et al also teaches that the substrate is situated below the plasma source and advantageously placed to move in respect to the plasma source, thereby making the plasma source and treatment fluid movably arranged (*See Hu et al Paragraph 0023*).

- 7. Groups I and III require the apparatus as disclosed in Group II. As such, the corresponding technical feature among the claim groups is not found to constitute a corresponding special technical feature. Hence, there is a lack of unity among the claim groups.
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 9. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Application/Control Number: 10/538,652

Art Unit: 4132

Page 4

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 12. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 4132

13. A telephone call was made to Eric Compton (54806, 703-770-7721) on September 30, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIFFANY NUCKOLS whose telephone number is (571)270-7377. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00AM 5:30 PM.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael LaVilla can be reached on 571-272-1539. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,652 Page 6

Art Unit: 4132

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TIFFANY NUCKOLS/ Examiner, Art Unit 4132 September 30, 2008

/Michael La Villa/
Michael La Villa
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4132
16 October 2008